

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,895	03/19/2004		Madhavan Pisharodi	PISA,015	8181
7	590	06/29/2005		EXAM	NER
Mark Wisner	\ ccosistac			PREBILIC	, PAUL B
Suite 400	1550014105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1177 West Loop South				3738	
Houston, TX 77027				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,895	PISHARODI, MA	DHAVAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minim od will apply and will expire SI tute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ely. communication.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	3 August 2004.		
·— · · _	his action is non-final	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from considerat		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam		_	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2004</u> is/a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord			
	Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action of form i	10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receiverionity documents have 17.2(ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nationa a)).	al Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(80 ₁ /08) 5) 🔲 t	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	TO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/804,895

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

It is noted that two inventions are claimed and at least seven species are disclosed in the specification. A restriction requirement has not been given at this time based upon the claimed subject matter. However, the Examiner reserves the right to give a restriction requirement in the future.

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

This application is claiming the benefit of a prior filed nonprovisional application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c). Copendency between the current application and the prior application is required. However, upon a review of the parent application 09/561,483, the Examiner determined that it was abandoned on January 1, 2004; no extension of time was filed to extend the period response beyond three (3) months after the Office action dated October 1, 2003. Since the present application was filed on March 19, 2004, there was not copendency and the effective filing date of the present application and claims is March 19, 2004.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602.

Art Unit: 3738

Furthermore, the declaration identifies the present application as a continuation-in-part of several applications. However, since there was not copendency with the immediate parent application, the present application cannot be properly identified as a continuing application of any of the identified applications.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, the specification identifies the present application as a continuation-in-part of several applications. However, since there was not copendency with the immediate parent application, the present application cannot be properly identified as a continuing application thereof. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. On lines 5 and 6, the claiming of two locks is confusing based upon the disclosure that only discloses one such lock per implant. For this reason, it is unclear how the present claimed structure corresponds to the disclosed invention. It appears that "a lock" (on line 6) should be changed to ---said lock--- in order to make the claim language clear.

On line 7 of claim 1, it is not clear if the lock is contacting the vertebrae and in combination with the vertebrae or meant for contact therewith. The Examiner suggests inserting "for" before "contacting" in order to overcome this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,895

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedman et al (US 4,759,769). Hedman anticipates the claim language where the implant as claimed is artificial disc (20'), the lock as claimed is cable (110), and the insert biased away from the implant as claimed is any of springs (72') or (74') biasing one of the members (26') or (28') away from the other; see Figure 9 and column 5, lines 45-67.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buttermann (US 5,827,328). Buttermann anticipates the claim language where the implant is members (18) and (16) (see Figure 1), the lock is member (14) with lock screws (63) and the insert is any of coil springs (40); see also, Figures 2 to 4 and columns 4 and 5.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that

Application/Control Number: 10/804,895

Art Unit: 3738

set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott Corrine can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738